

EXPLORING RISK
ASSOCIATED TO PUBLIC
INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS
CASE STUDY OF KUALA TERENGGANU
BY PASS

NUR HAISERA BINTI IDRIS

B. ENG(HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : NUR HAISERA BINTI IDRIS

ID Number : AA15063

Date : 31th MAY 2019

EXPLORING RISK
ASSOCIATED TO PUBLIC
INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS
CASE STUDY OF KUALA TERENGGANU
BY PASS

NUR HAISERA BINTI IDRIS

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
B. Eng (Hons.) Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering & Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

MAY 2019

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I have to thank my research supervisors, Mr Noram Irwan Bin Ramli for the continuous support of my research, for his patience, encouragement, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis.

I would also like to show gratitude to my PhD sister, Puteri Zulaiha bt Razi for the guide along my thesis writing journey. She raised many precious points related to the discussion topic clearly. Without her support and dedicated involvement in every step throughout the process, this paper would have never been accomplished.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini mencadangkan kajian empirikal modul penilaian risiko untuk projek pembinaan jalan awam. Kajian ini menggunakan satu kajian kes projek pembinaan jalan konvensional untuk Bypass Kuala Terengganu yang telah dimulakan oleh Kementerian Kerja Raya Malaysia sejak Julai 2016. Projek-projek ini melibatkan pembinaan laluan 5.875km dari laluan Tok Molor, Jalan Tok Adis T12) ke Kampng Durian Burung di laluan Persekutuan (FT03) Kota Bharu-Kuala Terengganu. Tujuh faktor kelewatan dan dua puluh dua sub-faktor telah ditetapkan daripada semakan kesusasteraan dan perundingan dengan pakar jalan awam. Survei soal selidik yang sepatutnya dijodohkan kepada pasukan projek jalan raya mengikut teknik Analitik Hierarki Proses (AHP). Risiko penangguhan dinilai secara kuantitatif dengan mengutamakan faktor kelewatan risiko dalam menentukan fasa pembinaan kritikal.

Kajian ini mengenal pasti lima faktor utama yang paling utama seperti berikut: bahawa faktor risiko paling utama dalam projek infrastruktur yang berkaitan dengan matlamat adalah risiko projek (0.34834), diikuti oleh risiko operasi (0.30861), risiko alam sekitar (0.23276), dan risiko teknikal 0.11029). Wajaran sub-faktor juga disenaraikan dan lima sub-faktor utama akan dibincangkan selanjutnya. Jadual 4 menunjukkan ketidakpastian cuaca (0.73289) sebagai risiko teratas yang utama yang menyebabkan sebahagian besar projek penangguhan, diikuti oleh isu pemilikan tanah (0.55465), penyerahan lewat yang diluluskan untuk lukisan pembinaan menyebabkan kelewatan dalam kelewatan penghantaran projek (0.39605), reka bentuk baru tidak menganggap sistem saliran yang sedia ada menyebabkan banjir (0.35202), dan keadaan tanah yang tidak dijangka (0.30084).

ABSTRACT

This study proposed an empirical study of risk assessment module for public road construction projects. This study employs a case study of a conventional road construction projects for Bypass Kuala Terengganu that has been initiated by the Ministry of Works Malaysia since July 2016. The projects involve the construction of 5.875km route from the state route Tok Molor, Jalan Tok Adis (T12) to Kampng Durian Burung at Federal route (FT03) Kota Bharu-Kuala Terengganu. Seven delay factors and twenty-two sub-factors were designated from a review of literature and consultations with public road experts. The designate pair-wise questionnaire survey was distributed to the road project team in accordance with the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) technique. The delay risk was assessed quantitatively by prioritizing the risk delay factors in determining the critical construction phase.

This study identified the top five most prioritized factors as follows: that the most prioritised risk factor in infrastructure projects with respect to goal is technical risk (0.11029), followed by environmental risk (0.23276), project risk (0.34834), and operational is (0.30861). The sub-factors weights were also ranked and the top five sub-factors will be further discussed. The table 4 shows uncertainty of weather (0.73289) as the top risk which is the main caused most of the delay project, followed by land acquisition issues (0.55465), late submission of approved for construction drawing causing delay in in project submission delay (0.39605), the new design does not consider existing drainage system causing flood (0.35202), and unexpected ground condition (0.30084). Therefore, the AHP method can be identified by classification weighted of the most prioritize risk in this project.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION

TITLE PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS **ii**

ABSTRAK **iii**

ABSTRACT **iv**

TABLE OF CONTENT **v**

LIST OF TABLES **vii**

LIST OF FIGURES **viii**

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS **ix**

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION **1**

1.1 Introduction 1

1.2 Problem Statement 3

1.3 Research Question 3

1.4 Objectives 4

1.5 Scopes 4

1.6 Significant 4

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW **5**

2.1 Introduction 5

2.2 Definition of Risks 5

2.3 Risks Management 6

2.4 Risks Management Process 7

2.5	Risks Management in Infrastructure Construction	11
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		13
3.1	Introduction	13
3.2	AHP Calculation Methods	15
3.3	Design of AHP model in using ‘Super decision Software’	17
3.4	Case study	19
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		20
4.1	Introduction of AHP	20
4.2	Questionnaire Design	22
4.3	Demographic Data	26
4.4	Risk Weighted using AHP Super decision Analysis	28
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION		32
REFERENCES		33
APPENDIX A		35

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Scale of Relative Importance for Pair-Wise Comparison [11]	15
Table 2: Random Consistency Index (RI)	17
Table 3: Thirteen sub-factors and four factors of AHP	23
Table 4 : The major scales of infinite numbers	24
Table 5: Respondent profile	26
Table 6: Ranks of Factors and Sub-factors	29

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Risks Management Process	7
Figure 3.1 : Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) methodology framework	14
Figure 3.2: AHP model in develop in ‘Superdecision Software’	18
Figure 3.3: The pair-wise comparison	18
Figure 3.4: Project Site Location	19
Figure 4.1: Hierarchy structure (Saaty 2008)	21
Figure 4.2: Risk response to Public Infrastructure Project	22
Figure 4.3: Respondents Academic Qualification	27
Figure 4.4: Respondents Profession	27
Figure 4.5: Respondents Stages Risk to be Practice	28

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHP	Analytical Hierarchy Process
ANP	Analytical Network Process
CI	Consistency Index
CR	Consistency Ratio
MCDM	Multi-Criteria Decision Making
RI	Random Index

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Economic growth is the most important entity in improving the income and living standards of the people. Thus, the balance between economic growth and economic prosperity, social and political population must be taken seriously to ensure the development of the country. The National mission was launched simultaneously with the Ninth Malaysia Plan 2006-2009. The aim is to achieve a stronger national economy while the government strives to achieve balanced and quality development. To achieve the balance development, it is requiring policy and strategy in the economy of the state. In Malaysia policies and strategies towards creating a more balanced economic development have long been emphasized.

The construction industry is an important component in the economic growth of our country in line with the sectors of agriculture, mining, manufacturing and services. The economic crisis in mid-1997 has led to the construction sector was hit hard and the heat is still felt today. Generally, recognizing the risk as an element that must be faced by each party in the development of the project, then it should be dealt with effectively. Furthermore, risks can be expressed as something that is not certain to happen and, in the event, that it can lead to loss or damage. In the context of construction, the risks inherent in the industry cannot be eliminated, but once it can be minimized or transferred to another party (Roозbeh, 1995).

In 1995, Roозbeh stated that, risk management in a construction company is important as it can influence the decisions made. Thus, to ensure the success of the project, many factors need to be assessed before making any decisions quickly and accurately. Such as costs, profits, management and finance.

Most infrastructure expenditure in developing countries has been funded directly from the fiscal budgets. However, several factors such as macroeconomic instability and growing investment requirements (particularly following the debt crisis of the 1980s), have shown that public financing is volatile and, in many countries, rarely meets crucial infrastructure expenditure requirements in a timely and adequate manner(“Public Private Partnerships - Risk Management in Engineering Infrastructure Projects” 2005).

Infrastructure is understood to be a critical factor in the health and wealth of a country, enabling private businesses and individuals to produce goods and services more efficiently. With respect to overall economic output, increased infrastructure spending by the government is generally expected to result in higher economic output in the short term by stimulating demand and in the long term by increasing overall productivity. The short-term impact on economic output largely depends on the type of financing (whether deficit financed or deficit neutral) and the state of the economy (whether in a recession or expansion). The long-term impact on economic output is also affected by the method of financing, due to the potential for “crowding out” of private investment when investments are deficit financed. The type of infrastructure is also expected to affect the impact on economic output. Investments in core infrastructure, defined as roads, railways, airports, and utilities, are expected to produce larger gains in economic output than investments in some broader types of infrastructure, such as hospitals, schools, and other public buildings.(Stupak 2018)

Construction projects can be managed using various risk management tools and techniques. Ahmed et al. reviewed techniques that can be used for development of risk management tools for engineering projects. Techniques for context establishment, risk identification, risk assessment and treatment were provided. Application of risk management tools depends on the nature of the project, organization’s policy, project management strategy, risk attitude of the project team members, and availability of the re-sources. A risk assessor model (RAM) presented by Jannadi and Almishari was developed to determine risk scores for various construction activities. The model provides an acceptability level for the risks and determines a quantitative justification for the proposed remedy.(Singh 2016)

Thus, government in RMK 9 propose a PPP for residence starter at KL, with various affordable housing introduce by the government such as PR1ma, PPA1M, PPRT, etc there is ambiguous in the implementation of the program. Various proposals have yet to meet high demand for housing. In addition, various risks had occurred even though the construction promises of high market value.

1.2 Problem Statement

This study attempts to explore of risk response plan associated to public infrastructure projects First, a framework for risk management is proposed based on a literature review. In some projects especially, mega infrastructure projects are usually vulnerable to risks due to several reasons such as delay in project, cost overrun, and higher costs. Many risks in infrastructure projects are very difficult to be precisely assessed due to large project scales and long durations. For instance, underestimation of demand shortfall is quite normal in traffic projects (Cruz & Marques, 2013b). Moreover, stakeholders could overestimate their capability of taking risks. The risk appetite of the project manager determines the risk transfer from the government (Kwak & LaPlace, 2005).(Ex Post Risk Management inXiong 2017). In the bidding documents, an inappropriate or excess transfer of risk to contractors might reduce the number of bidders and foster opportunism of the remaining tenderers. One of the most popular opportunistic behaviours is that the contractor wins the bid with a low price and then forces favourable renegotiations after the contract has been signed.

1.3 Research Question

- i) What is the risk associated in a public infrastructure project?
- ii) What are the measured tools for mitigation of risk public infrastructure project.

REFERENCES

1. ("Public Private Partnerships - Risk Management in Engineering Infrastructure Projects" 2005) Economic, socio-political and environmental risks of road development in the tropics. *Current Biology*, (27)20, R1130-R1140.
2. (Stupak 2018). Assessing risk and uncertainty inherent in Chinese highway projects using AHP. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(4), 408-419.
3. (Singh 2016). A study of ontology-based risk management framework of construction projects through project life cycle. *Automation in Construction*, 18(7), 994-1008.
4. (Ex Post Risk Management inXiong 2017). Risk analysis in construction project - Chosen methods. *Procedia Engineering*, 122, 258-265.
5. Kaliba, C.; Muya, M.; and Mumba, K. (2009). Cost escalation and schedule delays in road construction projects in Zambia. *International Journal of Project Management*, 27(5), 522-531.
6. Yusuwan, N.M.; Adnan, H.; and Omar, A.F. (2008). Clients ' perspectives of risk management practice in Malaysian construction industry. *Journal of Politic and Law*, 1(3), 121-130.
7. Aziz, R.F.; and Abdel-Hakam, A.A. (2016). Exploring delay causes of road construction projects in Egypt. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 55(2), 1515-1539.
8. Mahamid, I. (2011). Risk matrix for factors affecting time delay in road construction projects: Owners' perspective. *Engineering Construction and Architectural Management*, 18(6), 609-617.
9. Zafar, I.; Yousaf, T.; and Ahmed, S. (2016). Evaluation of risk factors causing cost overrun in road projects in terrorism affected areas Pakistan -a case study. *Korean Society of Civil Engineers Journal of Civil Engineering*,
10. Perera, B.A.K.S.; Dhanasinghe, I.; and Rameezdeen, R. (2009). Risk management in road construction: The case of Sri Lanka. *International Journal of Strategic Property Management*, 13(2), 87-102.

11. Abd El-Karim, M.S.B.A.; Mosa El Nawawy, O.A.; and Abdel-Alim, A.M. (2015). Identification and assessment of risk factors affecting construction projects. *Housing and Building National Research Center Journal*, 13(2), 202-216.
12. Project Management Institute. (2000). *A guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK® guide)*(2000 ed.). Pennsylvania, United States of America: Project Management Institute Inc.
13. Renuka, S.M.; Umarani, C.; and Kamal, S. (2014). A review on critical risk factors in the life cycle of construction projects. *Journal of Civil Engineering Research*, 4(2A), 31-36.
14. ("Risk-Monitoring2," n.d.). A web-based integrated system for international project risk management. *Automation in Construction*, 17(3), 342-356.
15. (Fazlollahtabar, Eslami, and Salmani 2010). Construction projects selection and risk assessment by fuzzy AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS methodologies. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 17, 105-116.